

**ISO/IEC JTC 1 Special Working Group on Accessibility (SWG-A)**

**JTC 1 SWG-A N 304**

**2008-03-04**

**Document  
Type:**

Summary of Voting

**Title:**

**Summary of Voting on JTC 1 N 8820 - Text for ISO/IEC  
PDTR 29138-3, - Information Technology - Accessibility  
Considerations for People with Disabilities - Part 3:  
Guidance on User Needs Mapping**

**Source:**

JTC 1 Secretariat

**Requested  
Action:**

For consideration at the June 2008 SWG-A meeting and  
PDTR 29138 Parts 1-3 ballot resolution meeting.

JTC 1 SWG on Accessibility Secretariat  
ITI/INCITS  
1250 Eye Street NW, Suite 200, Washington, DC 20005  
[jgarner@itic.org](mailto:jgarner@itic.org)

---



**ISO/IEC JTC 1 N 8979**  
**ISO/IEC JTC 1**  
**Information Technology**

2008-03-03

**Document Type:** Summary of Voting/Table of Replies

**Document Title:** Summary of Voting on JTC 1 N 8820 – Text for ISO/IEC PDTR 29138-3, - Information Technology - Accessibility Considerations for People with Disabilities - Part 3: Guidance on User Needs Mapping -

**Document Source:** JTC 1 Secretariat

**Reference:**

**Document Status:** As per the results of this ballot, the request has passed and will be forwarded to ITTF for processing.

**Action ID:** Information

**Due Date:**

**No. of Pages:** 6

## Result of voting

### Ballot Information:

<b>Ballot reference:</b>	JTC001-N-8820
<b>Ballot type:</b>	DTR
<b>Ballot title:</b>	<b>Text for ISO/IEC PDTR 29138-3, - Information Technology - Accessibility Considerations for People with Disabilities - Part 3: Guidance on User Needs Mapping -</b>
<b>Opening date:</b>	2007-10-31
<b>Closing date:</b>	2008-02-29
<b>Note:</b>	<b>This document is circulated to JTC 1 National Bodies for PDTR ballot. National Bodies are asked to vote and submit their comments via the on-line balloting system by the due date indicated. In accordance with JTC1 Gold Coast resolution 36, JTC 1 accepts the offer of the SWG-A to conduct the ballot resolution meeting, should one be necessary, tentatively scheduled for 16-20 June 2008 in Japan.</b>

### Member responses:

<b>Votes cast (23)</b>	Australia (SA) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Czech Republic (CNI) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Ireland (NSAI) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Malta (MSA) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Norway (SN) Singapore (SPRING SG) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI)
------------------------	--

	USA (ANSI)
<b>Comments submitted (0)</b>	
<b>Votes not cast (17)</b>	Algeria (IANOR) Belgium (NBN) Côte-d'Ivoire (CODINORM) Ecuador (INEN) Iran, Islamic Republic of (ISIRI) Jamaica (BSJ) Kazakhstan (KAZMEMST) Kenya (KEBS) Malaysia (DSM) Nigeria (SON) Pakistan (PSQCA) Philippines (BPS) Saudi Arabia (SASO) Slovenia (SIST) Turkey (TSE) Uruguay (UNIT) Venezuela (FONDONORMA)

Questions:	
<b>Q.1</b>	"Does your National Body approve the attached DTR to go forward to publication?"
<b>Q.2</b>	"If you approve the DTR Text with comments, would you please indicate which type ? (General, Technical or Editorial)"
<b>Q.3</b>	"If you Disapprove the Draft, would you please indicate if you accept to change your vote to Approval if the reasons and appropriate changes will be accepted?"

Answers to Q.1: "Does your National Body approve the attached DTR to go forward to publication?"		
<b>15 x</b>	<b>Approval as presented</b>	<b>Australia (SA)</b> <b>Canada (SCC)</b> <b>China (SAC)</b> <b>Czech Republic (CNI)</b> <b>Denmark (DS)</b> <b>France (AFNOR)</b> <b>India (BIS)</b> <b>Ireland (NSAI)</b> <b>Italy (UNI)</b> <b>Japan (JISC)</b> <b>Korea, Republic of (KATS)</b> <b>Netherlands (NEN)</b> <b>New Zealand (SNZ)</b> <b>Norway (SN)</b> <b>USA (ANSI)</b>
<b>6 x</b>	<b>Abstention</b>	<b>Finland (SFS)</b> <b>Malta (MSA)</b> <b>Singapore (SPRING SG)</b>

		South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV)
2 x	Approval with comments	Germany (DIN) United Kingdom (BSI)
0 x	Disapproval of the draft	

Answers to Q.2: "If you approve the DTR Text with comments, would you please indicate which type ? (General, Technical or Editorial)"

21 x	Ignore	Australia (SA) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Czech Republic (CNI) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) India (BIS) Ireland (NSAI) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Malta (MSA) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Norway (SN) Singapore (SPRING SG) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV) USA (ANSI)
1 x	All	Germany (DIN)
1 x	General	United Kingdom (BSI)
0 x	Editorial	
0 x	Technical	

Answers to Q.3: "If you Disapprove the Draft, would you please indicate if you accept to change your vote to Approval if the reasons and appropriate changes will be accepted?"

22 x	Ignore	Australia (SA) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Czech Republic (CNI) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) India (BIS)
------	--------	--

		Ireland (NSAI) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Malta (MSA) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Norway (SN) Singapore (SPRING SG) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV) USA (ANSI)
1 x	Yes	United Kingdom (BSI)
0 x	No	

Comments from Voters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
Germany (DIN)	<b>Comment File</b>	2008-02-22 09:58:57
<a href="#">CommentFiles/Germany(DIN).doc</a>		
United Kingdom (BSI)	<b>Comment</b>	2008-02-29 12:02:27
<p>With regard to 6b Column B: Category</p> <p><i>Cells in this column contain the category number from the "Category" column in the User Needs Summary.</i></p> <p><i>NOTE This supports sorting the User Needs Mappings.</i></p> <p>The UK suggests that the provision of category cross references in the output, rather than having to cross refer another document, would make the document easier for the reader to use.</p>		

Comments from Commenters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:	Document: <b>ISO/IEC PDTR 29138-3</b>
-------	---------------------------------------

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
<b>MB<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex</b> (e.g. 3.1)	<b>Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note</b> (e.g. Table 1)	<b>Type of com- ment<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Comment (justification for change) by the MB</b>	<b>Proposed change by the MB</b>	<b>Secretariat observations on each comment submitted</b>
DE	6	first par.	ed	While it is a good approach to have an up-to-date template available online for the user needs mapping, it would be helpful for the reader to have a template (reflecting the state of the standard) included in the TR as an appendix.	Add appendix with user needs mapping template. This should include a note hinting at the up-to-date online version of the template.	
DE	6	first par.	te	There seems to be no user needs template posted at <a href="http://www.jtc1access.org/base.htm">http://www.jtc1access.org/base.htm</a> currently. Anyway, providing a template as part of a zip file (which needs to be downloaded and unzipped by the user) is deemed to not be user-friendly.	Provide a direct link to the up-to-date user needs template in the TR.	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.