

ISO/IEC JTC 1 Special Working Group on Accessibility (SWG-A)

JTC 1 SWG-A N 353

2008-10-22

Document Type: Summary of Voting

Title: **Summary of Voting on JTC 1 N 9176 – ISO/IEC DTR 29138-1, Information Technology - Accessibility Considerations for People with Disabilities - Part 1: User Needs Summary**

Source: JTC 1 Secretariat

Requested Action: For consideration at the 15 January 2009 SWG-A teleconference to conduct ballot resolution for DTR Parts 1-3.

JTC 1 SWG on Accessibility Secretariat
ITI/INCITS
1250 Eye Street NW, Suite 200, Washington, DC 20005
jgarner@itic.org



ISO/IEC JTC 1 N 9370
ISO/IEC JTC 1
Information Technology

2008-10-22

Document Type: Summary of Voting

Document Title: Summary of Voting on JTC 1 N 9176 – ISO/IEC DTR29138-1, Information Technology- Accessibility Considerations for People with Disabilities- Part 1: User Needs Summary

Document Source: JTC 1 Secretariat

Reference:

Document Status: As per the results of this ballot, the DTR has passed. SWG-A is instructed to review the comments received, prepare a disposition of comments, and if necessary, a revised text for submission to ITTF for publication.

Action ID: Information

Due Date:

No. of Pages: 8

Result of voting

Ballot Information:

Ballot reference:	JTC001-N-9176
Ballot type:	DTR
Ballot title:	ISO/IEC DTR 29138-1, Information Technology - Accessibility Considerations for People with Disabilities - Part 1: User Needs Summary
Opening date:	2008-07-12
Closing date:	2008-10-12
Note:	This document is circulated to JTC 1 National Bodies for DTR ballot. National Bodies are asked to vote and submit their comments via the on-line balloting system by the due date indicated.

Member responses:

Votes cast (25)	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Czech Republic (CNI) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Ireland (NSAI) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Kazakhstan (KAZMEMST) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Malta (MSA) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Norway (SN) Singapore (SPRING SG) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
Comments submitted (0)	
Votes not cast (15)	Algeria (IANOR) Azerbaijan (AZSTAND)

Côte-d'Ivoire (CODINORM)
 Ecuador (INEN)
 Iran, Islamic Republic of (ISIRI)
 Jamaica (BSJ)
 Kenya (KEBS)
 Malaysia (DSM)
 Nigeria (SON)
 Pakistan (PSQCA)
 Philippines (BPS)
 Saudi Arabia (SASO)
 Slovenia (SIST)
 Uruguay (UNIT)
 Venezuela (FONDONORMA)

Questions:

Q.1	"Does your National Body approve the attached DTR to go forward to publication?"
Q.2	"If you approve the DTR Text with comments, would you please indicate which type ? (General, Technical or Editorial)"
Q.3	"If you Disapprove the Draft, would you please indicate if you accept to change your vote to Approval if the reasons and appropriate changes will be accepted?"

Answers to Q.1: "Does your National Body approve the attached DTR to go forward to publication?"

15 x	Approval as presented	Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Czech Republic (CNI) Denmark (DS) France (AFNOR) India (BIS) Ireland (NSAI) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Norway (SN) South Africa (SABS) USA (ANSI)
8 x	Abstention	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) Finland (SFS) Kazakhstan (KAZMEMST) Malta (MSA) Singapore (SPRING SG) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV)
1 x	Approval with	Germany (DIN)

comments		
1 x	Disapproval of the draft	United Kingdom (BSI)

Answers to Q.2: "If you approve the DTR Text with comments, would you please indicate which type ? (General, Technical or Editorial)"

23 x	Ignore	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Czech Republic (CNI) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) India (BIS) Ireland (NSAI) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Kazakhstan (KAZMEMST) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Malta (MSA) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Norway (SN) Singapore (SPRING SG) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV) USA (ANSI)
1 x	Editorial	Germany (DIN)
1 x	General	United Kingdom (BSI)
0 x	All	
0 x	Technical	

Answers to Q.3: "If you Disapprove the Draft, would you please indicate if you accept to change your vote to Approval if the reasons and appropriate changes will be accepted?"

24 x	Ignore	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Czech Republic (CNI) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Ireland (NSAI) Italy (UNI)
-------------	---------------	--

		Japan (JISC) Kazakhstan (KAZMEMST) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Malta (MSA) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Norway (SN) Singapore (SPRING SG) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV) USA (ANSI)
1 x	Yes	United Kingdom (BSI)
0 x	No	

Comments from Voters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
Germany (DIN)	<i>Comment File</i>	2008-10-06 13:34:53
CommentFiles/Germany(DIN).doc		
United Kingdom (BSI)	<i>Comment</i>	2008-10-10 16:25:09
Page 21 contains "products that do not give off electromagnetic radiation that they are allergic to" and "products that do not give off chemicals that they are allergic to" - t hese references should all be deleted.		

Comments from Commenters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2008-10-06

Document: ISO/IEC PDTR 29138-1

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
DE	3		ed	A hint should be given to the user that the latest version of the User Needs Summary and the User Needs Mapping Template can be obtained from the ISO/IOC JTC1 / SWG-A Website at http://www.jtc1access.org/base.htm . (Cf. section 4 of PDTR 29138-3)	Add a note at the end of section 3: "The latest version of the User Needs Summary and the User Needs Mapping Template can be obtained from the ISO/IOC JTC1 / SWG-A Website at http://www.jtc1access.org/base.htm ."	
DE	4	a.2	ed	Missing part in sentence: "to understand these perceptions (user need categories 13, 14) either directly with the help of suitable assistive technologies (user need category 15); and"	Consider revision of this sentence to read as follows: "to understand these perceptions (user need categories 13, 14) either directly from the system or with the help of suitable assistive technologies (user need category 15); and"	
DE	5.3.2		ed	This subclause is a duplicate with 5.3.3 (though without content).	Delete 5.3.2.	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
**	Title		ed	ISO/IEC Technical Reports use an initial capital letter only for the first word of each title element.	Delete caps from all except first word of each title element.	
**	Foreword		ed	Foreword	<p>Replace 4th paragraph with the following: <i>"In exceptional circumstances, the joint technical committee may propose the publication of a Technical Report of one of the following types:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — <i>type 1, when the required support cannot be obtained for the publication of an International Standard, despite repeated efforts;</i> — <i>type 2, when the subject is still under technical development or where for any other reason there is the future but not immediate possibility of an agreement on an International Standard;</i> — <i>type 3, when the joint technical committee has collected data of a different kind from that which is normally published as an International Standard ("state of the art", for example).</i> <p><i>Technical Reports of types 1 and 2 are subject to review within three years of publication, to decide whether they can be transformed into International Standards. Technical Reports of type 3 do not necessarily have to be reviewed until the data they provide are considered to be no longer valid or useful."</i></p> <p>The paragraph which indicates the SC that prepared the document shall also indicate whether it is a TR type 1, 2 or 3.</p>	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2008-10-16

Document: ISO/IEC DTR 29138-1

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
**	General		ed	Document incorrectly references itself.	Document shall refer to itself everywhere as "this part of ISO/IEC TR 29138", not as "this Technical Report" or "User Needs Summary".	
**	2.1, 2.9		ed	When a definition has been adapted from another document, this information shall be given in a Note, rather than square brackets which are reserved for definitions taken verbatim from other documents.	Give information concerning ISO 9241-171 and ISO 9241-11 in Notes.	
**	5.14.2, 5.15.2		ed	Awkward page breaks.	Avoid separating table headings from table content.	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.